HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

March 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson
2375 130th Avenue NE
Suite 200
Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration
Environment, Fish and Wildlife
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2
Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

List of Tables

Table

Section 1

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program. The hatchery is located on the Deschutes River about 9 miles from Maupin, Oregon. The hatchery is used for incubation and rearing of summer steelhead, rearing of winter steelhead, and maintenance of two resident rainbow trout broodstock.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995).* That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) Results

The Oak Springs facility includes 4 ponds for rainbow trout broodstock holding, 8 concrete raceways, 2 Burrow's ponds, 5 circular ponds 14 rearing ponds, and incubation facilities. The facility, which is operated with state funds, produces steelhead and resident trout.

The Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with water quality monitoring requirements, alarm requirements, double screening of rearing units, predation control requirements, and in-basin acclimation or rearing, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan, and develop a smoltification goal and monitoring plan. The hatchery needed to verify compliance with rearing standards. The hatchery was also not in compliance with all the feed storage, transportation, and hatchery sanitation procedures.

The specific areas in which the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Check flow alarm daily and other alarms weekly
- Check temperature of moist pellets at delivery
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop alarm logs
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport
- Install security alarms
- Install alarms on intake, large rearing ponds, raceway headboxes and rearing ponds
- Install outside system and buzzer
- Install telephone pages
- Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage facilities
- Monitor and record DO and TGP and record
- Provide double screens on raceways and ponds
- Provide effective predation control
- Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins
- Review production goal or size goal to meet size goal
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants
- Verify compliance with rearing standards
- Wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Section 2

Facility Description

Name: Oak Springs Hatchery

Stock/Species: Summer Steelhead
Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)
Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)
Rainbow Trout

Operating Agency: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: ODFW

Location: The hatchery is located on the Deschutes River about 9 miles from Maupin, Oregon

Address: 85001 Oak Springs Road
Maupin, OR 97037

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Randy Robart

Phone: (541) 395-2546
Fax: (541) 395-2595

Purpose: The facility, which is operated with state funds, produces steelhead and resident trout.

Production Goal:

Summer Steelhead

Produce 225,000 fingerlings (2,350 lb) for transfer to South Santiam Hatchery

Produce 170,000 fingerlings (1,250 lb) for transfer to Gnat Creek Hatchery

Produce 270,000 fingerlings (1,000 lb) for transfer to South Santiam Hatchery

Produce 75,000 smolts (15,000 lb) for release into the Salmon/Zigzag River System

Produce 60,460 smolts (12,000 lb) for release into the Hood River

Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Produce 40,000 smolts (8,000 lb) for release to Clackamas River

Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Produce 50,000 smolts (10,000 lb) for transfer to Hood River

Rainbow Trout

Produce 3,820,000 eggs and 131,134 lb of fish for various ODFW programs

Water Supply:

The present water delivery system can deliver approximately 11,670 gpm to the hatchery. Some mixing with re-use water occurs from one pond series to another.

Facilities:

Adult Holding:	2 brood ponds for rainbow trout broodstock - 10,818 cf each
	2 lower ponds for rainbow trout broodstock - 7,207 cf each
Incubation:	12 16-tray vertical stack incubators - 192 trays
Early Rearing:	4 - 19 foot Canadian troughs
Raceways:	2 Burrow ponds - 2680 cf each
	8 raceways - 3,481 cf each
Rearing Ponds:	5 circular ponds - 2,120 cf each
	9 north ponds - 9,433 cf each
	5 lower ponds for rainbow trout - 7,207 cf each
Satellite Facilities:	None

Section 3

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in ***Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*** (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report).[1] The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit.

---

[1]Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Oak Springs Hatchery was conducted on March 10, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

1. Information was obtained from headquarters.

2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.

3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.

4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.

5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (✔) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- **N/A** (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- **?** (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing					
	Clackamas Hatchery	Oak Springs Hatchery				
Adult Collection	✔					
Adult Holding	✔					
Spawning	✔					
Fertilization	✔					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	✔					
eyed-to-hatch	✔					
Rearing						
fry	✔					
fingerlings		✔				
smolts		✔				
Release		✔				

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		✔			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Clackamas subbasin plan	
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		✔			IHOT Operations Plan, ODFW Fish Production Schedule, and Oak Springs Hatchery O&M Manual	
s it understood by staff?		✔				
s it being followed?		✔				
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?	✔				No tagging program for wild steelhead stocks	
ult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and chery	✔				Adults return to Faraday Dam Fishtrap on Clackamas River.	
ult pre-spawning survival as compared with blished goal	✔				Adult holding at Clackamas Hatchery	
-take as compared with established hatchery goal	✔				Spawning at Clackamas Hatchery	
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established l	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal		✔			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
duction as compared with established goal		✔			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal	✔				Adults return to Faraday Dam Fishtrap on Clackamas River.	
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults neet basinwide needs	✔				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nperature						
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?	✔				Spawning at Clackamas Hatchery	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for ncubation?	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
solved gases						
s the oxygen level near saturation?			✔		No data	Monitor DO and record
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			✔		No data	Monitor TGP and record
emistry						
mmonia (un-ionized)			✔		No data	Run analysis
arbon Dioxide			✔		See above	See above
hlorine			✔		See above	See above
H			✔		See above	See above
opper			✔		See above	See above
ydrogen Sulfide			✔		See above	See above
on			✔		See above	See above
inc			✔		See above	See above
bidity						
Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			✔		No data	See above

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
alinity and hardness						
)oes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			✔		No data	Run analysis
:ite						
)oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			✔		No data	Run analysis
:ontaminants						
ıldrin			✔		No data	Run analysis
ndrin			✔		See above	See above
)ieldrin			✔		See above	See above
Ieptachlor			✔		See above	See above
:hlordane			✔		See above	See above
Methoxychlor			✔		See above	See above
ıindane			✔		See above	See above
Malathion			✔		See above	See above
;uthion			✔		See above	See above
hogens					Water supply provided by several different springs.	
Vhat portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
Adult holding	✔				Adult holding at Clackamas Hatchery	
Incubation	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
Early rearing	✔				Early rearing at Clackamas Hatchery	
Rearing		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Others		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
rm Systems						
)o the following areas have alarms?						
Intake				✔	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms on intake
Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds				✔	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms on large rearing ponds
Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds				✔	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms on raceway headboxes and rearing ponds
Incubation facilities		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Quarantine areas and facilities		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Water treatment systems		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Security				✔	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
ıre there outside systems and buzzers in onsite esidences?				✔	Discussion	Install outside system and buzzers
ıre water flow alarms checked daily?				✔	Review of records/Discussion	Check flow alarms daily
ıre all other alarms checked weekly?				✔	Discussion	Check other alarms weekly
; there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and ıaintenance requirements?				✔	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
ıre telephone pagers used?				✔	Discussion	Install telephone pagers
ılt collection and holding facilities						
)o you meet the adult holding criteria?	✔				No adult holding on station	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ıbation facilities						
'ype 1: Vertical stack incubators)o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	✔				No incubation at this hatchery	
'ype 2: _____)o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	✔					
ıring facilities						
'ype 1: 19' Canadian troughs)o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
'ype 2: 30' circulars)o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
'ype 3: Rectangular (N series))o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
'ype 4: Michigan raceways)o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
'ype 5: L series)o you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
eening facilities						
)o you meet the approach velocity criteria?	✔				No wild fish in water supply	
ıre the fish screens regularly cleaned?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
)oes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?	✔				No wild fish in water supply	
ıre rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?				✔	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide double screens
dator control facilities						
ıre your predation control facilities effective?				✔	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide effective predation control

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
d storage facilities and quality control						
)oes the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) ollow food manufacturer's recommendations?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
)oes a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				✔	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				✔	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				✔	Discussion	See above
ıre the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.			✔		Not sampled	Check temperature of moist pellets at delivery
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		✔			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		✔			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		✔			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).				✔	Discussion	Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage facilities

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ease facilities						
)o the release facilities ensure that fish are not ubjected to adverse conditions?	✔				No on-site releases	
lution abatement facilities						
)o the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal nd state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ıre pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		✔			Discussion	
nsportation facilities						
ıre the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
odstock selection practices						
s the donor selection process document attached? (PM 40a)	✔				Existing program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	✔				Existing program; does not apply	
wning practices						
Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	✔				Spawning at Clackamas Hatchery	
ıbation practices						
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?	✔				No incubation at this hatchery	
incubation practices written?	✔				See above	
ıbation Type 1: Vertical stack (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	✔				See above	
ıbation Type 2: _____ (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	✔				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				✔	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				✔	Review Hatchery Operations Plan	See above
earing Unit Type 1: 19' Canadian troughs (see PM						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✔				Not used	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✔				Not used	
earing Unit Type 2: 30' circulars (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✔				Review of records/Discussion	Develop specific rearing standards
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✔				Review of records/Discussion	Develop specific rearing standards
earing Unit Type 3: N series rectangular raceways see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✔				Not used	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✔				Not used	
earing Unit Type 3: Michigan raceways (see PM 9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✔				Not used	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✔				Not used	
earing Unit Type 3: L series ponds see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✔				Not used	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✔				Not used	
olt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		✔			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
h health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being onducted? (PM #26)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? PM #27)	✔				Broodstock at Clackamas Hatchery	
there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the anitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
re the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Dissolved gases			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? PM #31)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
s hatchery performance meet requirements lined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
cent smoltification						
Do you measure percent smoltification?				✔	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
Do you have a smoltification goal				✔	Discussion	See above
Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			✔		Discussion	See above
ring density (prior to release)						
Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?			✔		No criteria	Develop rearing density criteria
ease condition (at release)						
Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
nber (at release)						
Did you meet the release number goal?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
at release						
Did you meet the size goal?				✔	Did not make size goal in 1996	Review production goal and/or size goal
es of release						
Did you meet the release date goal?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
ation of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?						
are the fish reared in the subbasin?				✔	Discussion	Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins
are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				✔	Discussion	See above
he release strategy appropriate for the program?		✔			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
)o transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		✔			Discussion	
; the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ɔrmaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?		✔			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				✔	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
; the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?				✔	Discussion	See above
; other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?	✔				Discussion	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes					Discussion	
)o personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?				✔	Discussion	Wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water
)o the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive n inspection and service prior to the release season?		✔			Discussion	
; a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?		✔			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
)oes the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior) loading?		✔			Discussion	
)oes a pre-loading inspection covering tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to)ading fish in the transport unit?		✔			Discussion	
)o hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes) 1 hour after loading?		✔			Discussion	
Vhen fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and ıaintained at approximately 8 ppm?		✔			Discussion	
; water temperature in the transportation unit ıaintained within the 42-48 °F range?				✔	Discussion	Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport
)o fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		✔			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		✔			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		✔			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
luation practices						
las the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies):						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?	✔				All fish return to Clackamas River	
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?	✔					
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?	✔					
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?	✔					
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?	✔					

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ining practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		✔			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
Conduct visit at least monthly		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly nitoring visits being completed as described below?						
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of reportable viral pathogens.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of *Renibacterium salmoninarum*.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.		✔			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ıe hatchery following accepted sanitation cedures?						
ıre there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially or incubation and early rearing?		✔			Discussion	
ıre the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and eing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?	✔				Broodstock collected at Clackamas Hatchery	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		✔			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
water quality parameters being followed?						
re the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Dissolved gases			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
o to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)	✔				Incubation at Clackamas Hatchery	
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
o to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		✔			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ıe hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin ıagement plan? Go to subbasin plan PM #1		✔			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Clackamas Subbasin Plan	
ıe hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan? Go to operational plan PM #2		✔			Review IHOT Operations Plan	
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place? Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3	✔				Adults return to Clackamas River	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
s the hatchery program meet requirements blished in the regional hatchery policies and basin planning documents in the following areas: cies, stock, broodstock collection location, odstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, spawning and egg-take protocols?						
Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for he following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)	✔				Broodstock collected at Clackamas Hatchery	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	✔				See above	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)	✔				See above	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	✔				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	✔				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements						
ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: cent smoltification, rearing density, disease						
dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?						
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)				✔	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
earing density (PM #22a2)			✔		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a2
)isease condition (PM #22a3)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
Number at release (PM #22a4)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
ize at release (PM #22a5)				✔	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a5
)ate of release (PM #22a6)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
ocation of release (PM #22a7)		✔			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin? PM #22b				✔	Discussion	See PM #22b
he release strategy appropriate for the program? PM #22c		✔			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
s the broodstock collection plan written?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	✔				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?						
s the broodstock collection plan written?	✔				Broodstock collected at Clackamas Hatchery	
Does the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:					See above	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	✔				See above	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	✔				See above	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?	✔				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
s the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?						
ıre the spawning protocols written?	✔				Spawning at Clackamas Hatchery	
ıre daily or weekly spawning logs available?	✔				See above	
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?	✔				See above	
)id you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and ındomize mating with respect to age class, and other ·aits?	✔				See above	
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?	✔				See above	
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?	✔				See above	
: the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs etained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?	✔				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ıere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
; a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?	✔				Adults return to Clackamas River	
)oes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:					See above	
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?	✔				See above	
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?	✔				See above	
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?	✔				See above	
Is the program understood and followed by staff?	✔				See above	

Section 4

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Type	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, suggestions developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (± 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs[1]
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
None	----	
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Check flow alarm daily and other alarms weekly	----	6
Develop alarm logs	----	6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation	----	12
Check temperature of moist pellets at delivery	----	12
Develop specific rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan	----	19, 22a2
Verify compliance with rearing standards	----	19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor	----	22a1
Review production goal or size goal to meet size goal	----	22a5
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors	----	23
Wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water	----	23
Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport	----	23

[1] PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs[1]
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor and record DO and TGP	----	5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants	----	5c-5g
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install alarms on intake, large rearing ponds, raceway headboxes and rearing ponds	$60,000	6
Install security alarms	$20,000	6
Install outside system and buzzer	$2,000	6
Install telephone pages	$2,000	6
Provide double screens on raceways and ponds	$7,000	10
Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage facilities	$10,000	12
Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins	$2.0 million	22b
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Provide effective predation control	----	11

[1] PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Section 5

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Year	Fisheries[1] (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds[1] (Broodyear)	Hatchery[1] (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution[2] (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1985					
1986					
1987	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery
1988	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery
1989	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery
1990	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Reported at Clackamas Hatchery
1991					
1992					

[1] Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

[2] Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Section 6

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
1. Oak Springs Hatchery	**$19,091**	**$19,091**	**$37,429**
3.			
4.			
Total Program Costs	Total Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Total Reported at Clackamas Hatchery	Total Reported at Clackamas Hatchery

The total expenditures for the Oak Springs Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Oak Springs Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Summer Steelhead	**$73,835**	**$75,863**	**$161,661**
2. Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)	**$19,091**	**$19,091**	**$37,429**
3. Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock	**$19,067**	**$23,936**	**$46,985**
4.			
Total Hatchery Costs	**$111,993**	**$118,890**	**$246,075**

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Expenditure Occurring at Oak Springs

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	$219,923	$219,923	$220,865
Operational Costs	$125,111	$125,111	$139,555
Capital Costs	$0	$0	$360,420
Indirect Costs	$60,654	$60,654	$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs[1]			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	**$405,687**	**$405,687**	**$796361**
Source of Funds			
ODFW	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**
Program Production (lb)	8,000	8,000	8,000
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	4.7%	4.7%	4.7%
Program Costs	**$19,091**	**$19,091**	**$37,429**

[1] When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Oak Springs Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	$219,923	$219,923	$220,865
Operational Costs	$125,111	$125,111	$139,555
Capital Costs	$0	$0	$360,420
Indirect Costs	$60,654	$60,654	$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs[1]			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	**$405,687**	**$405,687**	**$796361**
Source of Funds			
ODFW	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**
Program Production (lb)	30,900	31,750	34,481
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	18.2%	18.7%	20.3%
Program Costs	**$73,835**	**$75,863**	**$161,661**

[1] When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Oak Springs Hatchery by Program

Winter Steelhead (Clackamas Stock)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	$219,923	$219,923	$220,865
Operational Costs	$125,111	$125,111	$139,555
Capital Costs	$0	$0	$360,420
Indirect Costs	$60,654	$60,654	$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs[1]			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	**$405,687**	**$405,687**	**$796361**
Source of Funds			
ODFW	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**
Program Production (lb)	8,000	8,000	8,000
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	4.7%	4.7%	4.7%
Program Costs	**$19,091**	**$19,091**	**$37,429**

[1] When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Oak Springs Hatchery by Program

Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	$219,923	$219,923	$220,865
Operational Costs	$125,111	$125,111	$139,555
Capital Costs	$0	$0	$360,420
Indirect Costs	$60,654	$60,654	$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs[1]			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	**$405,687**	**$405,687**	**$796361**
Source of Funds			
ODFW	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**
Program Production (lb)	8,000	10,000	10,000
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	4.7	5.9	5.9
Program Costs	**$19,067**	**$23,936**	**$46,985**

[1] When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.